

STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

Docket No. 7660

Joint petition of Vermont Marble Power)
Division of OMYA, Inc. (VMPD) for consent to)
sell, and Central Vermont Public Service)
Corporation ("CVPS") to purchase, certain)
assets of VMPD, and approval of certain other)
related matters, including revocation of VMPD's)
Certificate of Public Good)

Order entered: 9/21/2010

PROCEDURAL ORDER REGARDING PROTECTIVE AGREEMENTS

Vermont Marble Power Division of OMYA, Inc. ("VMPD") and Central Vermont Public Service Corporation ("CVPS") have information, that each alleges is of a confidential and proprietary nature, that each has been, or may be, asked to provide to the Public Service Board ("Board") and the Vermont Department of Public Service (the "Department") (VMPD, CVPS and the Department will be sometimes referenced herein, where the context requires, as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties"). To preserve the confidentiality of that information while facilitating disclosure of information in this proceeding, VMPD entered into a Protective Agreement, dated as of August 12, 2010, with the Department as attached hereto (the "VMPD Protective Agreement"), and CVPS entered into a Protective Agreement, dated as of August 12, 2010, with the Department as attached hereto (the "CVPS Protective Agreement" and, collectively with the VMPD Protective Agreement, the "Protective Agreements"). Schedule I of each of the Protective Agreements, as it may be amended in accordance with the terms of the Protective Agreements, describes information that VMPD or CVPS, as the case may be, alleges may result in financial or competitive harm to it if disclosed on the public record (which information as set forth in Schedule I, as amended from time to time, is referenced herein as the "Allegedly Confidential Information").

Pursuant to the Protective Agreements and to preserve the confidentiality of Allegedly Confidential Information, VMPD, CVPS and the Department request that the Board issue an order implementing the terms and procedures of the Protective Agreements.

I find good cause to order implementation of the Protective Agreements and that the Protective Agreements are appropriate, useful and reasonable, but with the following clarification. This Order shall govern only the protection of documents and information provided in disclosures and discovery. If a Party wishes to keep confidential any material that is proffered for inclusion in the evidentiary record, that Party must present a properly supported motion for protection of that material.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Allegedly Confidential Information provided by VMPD or CVPS pursuant to the Protective Agreements shall be treated in this proceeding as follows:

1. The VMPD Protective Agreement, filed with the Board on August 18, 2010, and attached hereto, and the CVPS Protective Agreement, filed with the Board on August 23, 2010, and attached hereto, are each approved and adopted as part of this Order.

2. For each document or information response that VMPD or CVPS wishes to treat as Allegedly Confidential Information, VMPD or CVPS, as the case may be, must submit a detailed, document-specific (or information-specific) averment of the basis for such treatment, which addresses the following, to the extent that VMPD or CVPS relies upon that factor as the basis for an assertion of confidentiality:

- a. Identification of the specific document or information for which confidential treatment is sought;
- b. Explanation of the degree to which the document or information contains a trade secret or other commercially sensitive information, or is privileged;
- c. For documents and information alleged to contain trade secrets or other commercially sensitive information,
 - i. the extent the information is known outside VMPD or CVPS, as the case may be,
 - ii. the extent the information is known by employees and independent contractors,
 - iii. the measures taken to guard secrecy,

- iv. the value of the information to VMPD and CVPS, as the case may be, and competitors,
 - v. the amount of effort or money used to develop the information,
 - vi. the ease or difficulty of others in acquiring or duplicating the information, and
 - vii. an explanation of how disclosure of the information could result in cognizable harm sufficient to warrant a protective order;
- d. Justification of the period during which VMPD or CVPS, as the case may be, asserts that material should not be available for public disclosure;
 - e. Explanation of whether partial disclosure, or disclosure of redacted versions, can adequately protect the Allegedly Confidential Information; and
 - f. Any other information that the party seeking confidential treatment believes may be useful in assessing whether the document or information should remain confidential.

3. If a party wishes to prefile any testimony or exhibits that include or otherwise disclose Allegedly Confidential Information, that Party must give five business days' advance notice to counsel for the party or other person that designated the information as Allegedly Confidential. Any party may move the Board for an order that the testimony or exhibits be filed under seal or under other conditions to prevent unnecessary disclosure.

- a. If such motion is filed within the five-business days' advance notice period, the proponent of the testimony and exhibits shall place them in a sealed record by filing such documents in sealed envelopes or other appropriate sealed containers on which shall be endorsed the caption and docket number of the proceeding, the nature of the contents (exhibit, report, etc.) and a statement that it shall not be opened or released from custody of the Clerk of the Board except by order of the Board or Hearing Officer. Notwithstanding such a statement, the members of the Board, and any employee or consultant specifically authorized by the Board to assist the Board in this proceeding and any Hearing Officer appointed to this Docket, may have access to such sealed Allegedly Confidential Information, but shall not disclose the contents of any such sealed information to any person who has not agreed to be bound by the Protective Agreements. The Board will then determine whether the proffered evidence should continue to be treated as confidential information and, if so, what protection, if any, may be afforded to such information.
- b. If no such motion is filed by the end of the five-business days' advance notice period, the testimony and exhibits may be filed as a document available for public access.

4. At any hearing or conference in this proceeding, no witness may be questioned with respect to any Allegedly Confidential Information unless examining counsel has provided advance notice to counsel for any party or other person that designated the information as allegedly confidential. To the extent possible, such notice shall be given prior to the commencement of the hearing or conference. Any party may move the Board for an order that the testimony be received *in camera* or under other conditions to prevent unnecessary disclosure. If such motion is made, the Board will then determine whether the testimony should be received *in camera* or subject to other protection.

5. Upon receipt of an executed Protective Agreement signature form, that is, either Schedule IIa or IIb to one of the Protective Agreements, counsel for VMPD or CVPS, as the case may be, shall forward one copy of the form to the Clerk of the Board.

6. All documents filed with the Board that are subject to the Protective Agreements as Allegedly Confidential Information and any documents that discuss or reveal documents that constitute Allegedly Confidential Information shall be placed in a sealed record by filing such information in sealed envelopes or other appropriate sealed containers on which shall be endorsed the caption and docket number of the proceeding, the nature of the contents (discovery response, report, etc.) and a statement that it shall not be opened or released from custody of the Clerk of the Board except by order of the Board. Notwithstanding such a statement, the members of the Board, and any employee or consultant specifically authorized by the Board to assist the Board in this proceeding and any Hearing Officer appointed to the Docket, may have access to such sealed Allegedly Confidential Information, but shall not disclose the contents of any such sealed information to any person who has not agreed to be bound by the Protective Agreements.

7. The Board will retain jurisdiction to make such amendments, modifications and additions to this Order as it may, from time to time, deem appropriate, including any such amendments, modifications or additions resulting from a motion made pursuant to the Protective Agreements. Any party or other person may apply to the Board for an amendment, modification or addition of this Order.

8. The parties are cautioned that there must be a good-faith basis for all claims of confidentiality. Claims without such a basis may result in sanctions against the party making the

unfounded claim. A party's public disclosure of information that it has designated as Allegedly Confidential may indicate that the party lacked a good-faith basis for that designation.

SO ORDERED.

Dated at Montpelier, Vermont, this 21st day of September, 2010.

s/ Lars Bang-Jensen, Esq.

Lars Bang-Jensen
Hearing Officer

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

FILED: September 21, 2010

ATTEST: s/ Susan M. Hudson
Clerk of the Board

NOTICE TO READERS: This decision is subject to revision of technical errors. Readers are requested to notify the Clerk of the Board (by e-mail, telephone, or in writing) of any apparent errors, in order that any necessary corrections may be made. (E-mail address: psb.clerk@state.vt.us)